1 WO 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 6 7 8 George L. Mortensen and Erna H.) No. CV-08-01669-PHX-LOA Mortensen, husband and wife, 9 NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT Plaintiffs, AND ORDER 10 VS. 11 Home Loan Center, Inc., ("HLC"), a) California corporation, dba Lending Tree) 12 Loans; RLI Insurance Company, 13 Illinois corporation; Kenneth J. Block,) designated broker for HLC; Anthony) Gutierrez, Senior Mortgage Banker with) 14 HLC, 15 Defendants. 16 17 Pursuant to Local Rule ("LRCiv") 3.8(a), Rules of Practice, effective 18 December 1, 2007, all civil cases are, and will be, randomly assigned to a U.S. district 19 judge or to a U.S. magistrate judge. This matter has been assigned to the undersigned U.S. 20 Magistrate Judge. 21 As a result of the aforesaid Local Rule, if all parties consent in writing, the 22 case will remain with the assigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(c)(1) for 23 all purposes, including trial and final entry of judgment. If any party chooses the district 24

judge option, the case will be randomly reassigned to a U.S. district judge. To either

consent to the assigned magistrate judge or to elect to have the case heard before a

district judge, the appropriate section of the form, entitled Consent To Exercise Of

25

26

27

28

1	Jurisdiction By United States Magistrate Judge ¹ , must be completed, signed and filed.
2	The party filing the case or removing it to this Court is responsible for serving all parties
3	with the consent forms. Each party must file a completed consent form and certificate of
4	service with the Clerk of the Court not later than 20 days after entry of appearance, and
5	must serve a copy by mail or hand delivery upon all parties of record in the case.
6	Any party is free to withhold consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction
7	without adverse consequences. 28 U.S.C. 636(c)(2); Rule 73(b), Fed.R.Civ.P.; Anderson
8	v. Woodcreek Venture Ltd., 351 F.3d 911, 913-14 (9th Cir. 2003) (pointing out that
9	consent is the "touchstone of magistrate judge jurisdiction" under 28 U.S.C. §636(c). "A

party to a federal civil case has, subject to some exceptions, a constitutional right to proceed before an Article III judge." *Dixon v. Ylst.* 990 F.2d 478, 479 (9th Cir. 1993)

proceed before an Article III judge." Dixon v. Ylst, 990 F.2d 478, 479 (9th Cir. 1993)

(citing Pacemaker Diagnostic Clinic of Am. Inc. v. Instromedix, Inc., 725 F.2d 537, 541

13 (9th Cir. 1984) (*en banc*)).

A review of the Court's file indicates that Defendants Home Loan Center, Inc., Kenneth J. Block and RLI Insurance Company filed a Notice of Removal on September 9, 2008.

Defendants Home Loan Center, Inc., Kenneth J. Block and RLI Insurance Company shall have until **Friday**, **September 26**, **2008**, within which to make their selections to either consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction or elect to proceed before a U. S. district judge.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendants Home Loan Center, Inc., Kenneth J. Block and RLI Insurance Company shall file on or before Friday, September 26, 2008

25

26

27

28

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

¹The consent/election form may be obtained directly from the Clerk of the Court or by accessing the District of Arizona's web site at www.azd.uscourts.gov. To find the consent/election form on the District's web site, click on "Local Rules" at the top of the page, then click on "forms" on the left side of the page and then click on and print the appropriate form.

Case 2:08-cv-01669-NVW Document 5 Filed 09/12/08 Page 3 of 3

1	their written elections to either consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction or elect to proceed
2	before a United States district judge.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall either consent to proceed
4	before a magistrate judge or elect to proceed before a district judge by October 2, 2008.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defense counsel shall use proper
6	capitalization in all future captions as mandated by LRCiv 7.1(a)(3).
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel and any party, if
8	unrepresented, shall hereinafter comply with the Rules of Practice for the United States
9	District Court for the District of Arizona, as amended on December 1, 2007. The
10	District's Rules of Practice may be found on the District Court's internet web page at
11	www.azd.uscourts.gov/. All other rules may be found as www.uscourts.gov/rules/. The
12	fact that a party is acting pro se does not discharge this party's duties to "abide by the
13	rules of the court in which he litigates." Carter v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 784
14	F.2d 1006, 1008 (9 th Cir. 1986).
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that counsel and any party, if
16	unrepresented, shall use the above caption, number and initials until further order of the
17	Court.
18	DATED this 12 th day of September, 2008.
19	\mathcal{L}
20	Lawrence O. Anderson
21	United States Magistrate Judge
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	